

Kaluza-Klein dimensional reduction and Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations

Pei Wang *

Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University, Xian 710069, China

Abstract

In this paper we imitate the traditional method which is used customarily in the General Relativity and some mathematical literatures to derive the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations for dimensional reduction. It would be more distinct concerning geometric meaning than the vielbein method. Especially, if the lower dimensional metric is independent of reduced dimensions the counterpart of the symmetric extrinsic curvature is proportional to the antisymmetric Kaluza-Klein gauge field strength. For isometry group of internal space, the $SO(n)$ symmetry and $SU(n)$ symmetry are discussed. And the Kaluza-Klein instanton is also enquired. PACS:03.70;11.15 *Keywords:* Gauss Codazzi Ricci equation, Kaluza Klein reduction

1 Introduction

Kaluza-Klein dimensional reduction is a longstanding problem which is followed with interest by theoretical physicists.[1] It is developed from initial unification of gravitational and electromagnetic interactions to becoming the cornerstone for superstring and supergravity. (see review papers [2][3] and references therein.) To depict Kaluza-Klein dimensional reduction most authors adopt the Cartan moving frame that is the vielbein method. Its form is elegant and its algorithm is rapid. But the well-known Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations which describe a submanifold embedded in a Riemann space are implicit. Perhaps the role played by Codazzi constraint and Ricci constraint may not clear too. Alternatively we would like to derive these equations for dimensional reduction by using the traditional method which is used customarily in the General Relativity [4][5][6] and some mathematic literatures.[7][8] The geometric meaning may be more distinct than the vielbein method. As a result we have found the substitute of so called lapse function[9] and shifted function,[10] that is, instead of shifted function we have Kaluza Klein gauge potential and instead of lapse function we have scalar field tensor. Especially, the symmetric extrinsic curvature tensor now is replaced by a mixed tensor which has antisymmetric part

*peiwang@nwu.edu.cn

as well as symmetric one. When the metric of lower dimensional space is independent of reduced dimensions the tensor is proportional to the antisymmetric Kaluza-Klein gauge field strength.

The simplest Kaluza-Klein reduction is through the torus,[11][12] consequently, the isometry group of internal space is $U(1)^n$. But for connecting with physics the nonAbelian group is more interest. So we try to examine $SO(n)$ symmetry and $SU(n)$ symmetry. Up to now there are only a few of nonlinear ansatz for truncations to the massless supermultipletes: DeWit and Nicolai demonstrated the consistency reduced on S^7 from 11-dimensional supergravity to the 4-dimensional $SO(8)$ supergravity.[13] Nastase et al. found a complete solution for the S^4 reduction of 11-dimensional supergravity giving rise to the 7-dimensional gauged $SO(5)$ supergravity.[14] and a S^5 reduction of IIB supergravity giving rise to the 5-dimensional gauged $SO(6)$ supergravity was contributed by Cvetič et al.[15] Nevertheless as indicated in ref.[16] the consistency of these ansatz always work at the level of the equations of motion. According to usual understanding, the actions are equivalent to equations of motion. But these authors (they called above reduction the Pauli reduction) pointed out that substituting the ansatz into the higher dimensional action may not give the correct lower dimensional theory. In this paper we will see the Gauss equation denotes essentially the reduction relation of action. It may provide another avenues for further investigation. We know $SU(n)$ group is a subgroup of $SO(2n)$ there may be byproduct when we study the spherical reduction, $SU(n)$ ansatz can be embedded in the $SO(2n)$ ansatz. Besides, in present theory, the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations are dependent on Kaluza-Klein gauge potential, they may be defined in different neighborhood (gauge); moreover, we have yet to study isometric group $SU(n)$, hence except the Kaluza-Klein monopole we can also enquire the Kaluza-Klein instanton.

2 Tensor K and vector L, Gauss- Weingarten Formula

The standard Kaluza Klein reduction formula from D-dimensional spacetime to d-dimensional subspace is shown in the following

$$ds^2 = g_{AB}dX^A dX^B = h_{\alpha\beta}dx^\alpha dx^\beta + N_{ij}(du^i + N_\alpha^i dx^\alpha)(du^j + N_\beta^j dx^\beta) \quad (1)$$

in which $N_{ij} = N_{ji}$ and N_α^i are the generalization of lapse function and shifted function in General Relativity respectively. Physically they represent scalars and gauge fields, for Abelian theory $N_\alpha^i = A_\alpha^i$, and for nonAbelian case[2][3]

$$N_\alpha^i = -\xi_P^i A_\alpha^P, \quad (2)$$

ξ_P^i are Killing vectors on (D-d)-dimensional internal space satisfying

$$\xi_P^i \partial_i \xi_Q^j - \xi_Q^i \partial_i \xi_P^j = C_{PQ}^R \xi_R^j, \quad (3)$$

where C_{PQ}^R is the structure constant of isometric group. In imitation of lapse-shifted method we can introduce the normal vectors

$$n_A^i = (N_\alpha^i, \delta_j^i), \quad n^{Ai} = (0, N^{-1ij}), \quad n_i^A n_{Aj} = N_{ij}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

so that

$$g_{AB} = h_{AB} + N_{ij} n_A^i n_B^j, \quad h_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to find the inverse metric

$$g^{AB} = h^{AB} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & N^{-1ij} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where

$$h^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} h^{\alpha\beta} & -N^{\alpha j} \\ -N^{\beta i} & N_\alpha^i N^{\alpha i} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Obviously, we have

$$h_{AB} n_i^B = 0 = h^{AB} n_B^i. \quad (8)$$

Especially, there are special components of metric h which satisfy

$$h_\alpha^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad h_\alpha^i = -N_\alpha^i, \quad h_{iA} = h_{Ai} = h_i^A = 0. \quad (9)$$

We begin by presenting the relation between two metrics

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B g_{AB} \quad (10)$$

then we get by differentiating them

$$\begin{aligned} D_\gamma h_{\alpha\beta} &\equiv \partial_\gamma h_{\alpha\beta} - N_\gamma^i \partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} \\ &= (D_\gamma h_\alpha^A) h_{AB} + (D_\gamma h_\beta^B) h_{AB} + h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B h_\gamma^C \partial_C g_{AB} \\ &= h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B h_\gamma^C \partial_C g_{AB} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

in the last step we have used the equations (9). Define that

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \frac{1}{2} h^{\gamma\delta} (D_\alpha h_{\delta\beta} + D_\beta h_{\alpha\delta} - D_\delta h_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma + H_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma, \quad (12)$$

in which $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ is the Christoffel symbol in d-dimensional subspace, while

$$H_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \equiv -\frac{1}{2} h^{\gamma\delta} (N_\alpha^i \partial_i h_{\delta\beta} + N_\beta^i \partial_i h_{\alpha\delta} - N_\delta^i \partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}). \quad (13)$$

Substituting eq.(11) into eq.(12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma &= \frac{1}{2} h^{\gamma\delta} h_\delta^D h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B (\partial_A g_{DB} + \partial_B g_{AD} - \partial_D g_{AB}) \\ &= h_C^\gamma h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B \frac{1}{2} g^{CD} (\partial_A g_{DB} + \partial_B g_{AD} - \partial_D g_{AB}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= h_C^\gamma (h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B \Gamma_{AB}^C + D_\alpha h_\beta^C). \quad (14)$$

In last equality we have used the ambiguity because of eqs.(9). It is clear that

$$h_C^\gamma \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_\beta^C \equiv h_C^\gamma (D_\alpha h_\beta^C + \Gamma_{AB}^C h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B - P_{\alpha\beta}^\delta h_\delta^C) = 0, \quad (15)$$

which tells us that $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_\beta^C$ is proportional to the normal vector fields n_i^C , hence we can define tensors $K_{\alpha\beta}^i$ by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_\beta^C = K_{\alpha\beta}^i n_i^C, \quad (16)$$

this is the Gauss formula in present case. Operator $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha$ we have introduced is an operator which operates on the D-dimensional index as well as d-dimensional index simultaneously.[8] In fact if we define the following operators

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\beta n_i^C = D_\beta n_i^C + \Gamma_{BA}^C h_\beta^B n_i^A, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\beta n_C^i = D_\beta n_C^i - \Gamma_{BC}^A h_\beta^B n_A^i; \quad (17)$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\beta u^\gamma = D_\beta u^\gamma + P_{\beta\alpha}^\gamma u^\alpha, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\beta u_\gamma = D_\beta u_\gamma - P_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha u_\alpha. \quad (18)$$

Operator $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha$ on h_β^C certainly agrees with eq.(15). In an earlier version[17] we have defined the operator $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha$ by using ∂_α instead of D_α . As a result, both $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha g_{AB}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_{\beta\gamma}$ do not vanish, they belong to so called nonmetric connection. As a matter of fact in lower dimensional space the connection is just the torsion free linear connection described by Schouten [7]; while in higher dimensional space it is Yano's projective connection.[8] Fortunately, when we redefine operator $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha$ as present form the metric property of connection is recovered. i.e.

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\gamma g_{AB} = \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma h_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (19)$$

From eqs.(14) and (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_\beta^C &= D_\alpha h_\beta^C + \Gamma_{AB}^C h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B - P_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma h_\gamma^C \\ &= (\delta_D^C - h_D^\gamma h_\gamma^C)(D_\alpha h_\beta^D + \Gamma_{AB}^D h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B) \\ &= N_{ij} n_D^j (D_\alpha h_\beta^D + \Gamma_{AB}^D h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B) n^{Ci}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Therefore

$$K_{\alpha\beta i} = -\frac{1}{2}[\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} + N_{ij}(D_\alpha N_\beta^j - D_\beta N_\alpha^j)]. \quad (21)$$

If there is nonAbelian isometric group on internal manifold, by means of eqs.(2)and(3) we get

$$K_{\alpha\beta i} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} - N_{ij} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}^P \xi_P^j), \quad (22)$$

in which

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}^P = \partial_\alpha \mathcal{A}_\beta^P - \partial_\beta \mathcal{A}_\alpha^P + C_{QR}^P \mathcal{A}_\alpha^Q \mathcal{A}_\beta^R. \quad (23)$$

Now the geometric meaning of function $K_{\alpha\beta}^i$ is quite clear: its symmetric part is a gradient of metric on submanifold which vanishes if neglect massive particles in the compactified theory. The antisymmetric part proportional to a Yang-Mills gauge field, which really can be thought of a kind of "curvature". We know in old Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci theory the corresponding K tensor is a symmetric extrinsic curvature.

Next, we write down the Weingarten formula

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_\beta n_A^i &= h_A^\alpha h_\alpha^B \tilde{\nabla}_\beta n_B^i + N_{kl} n_A^k n^{Bl} \tilde{\nabla}_\beta n_B^i \\ &= -h_A^\alpha n_B^i \tilde{\nabla}_\beta h_\alpha^B + n_A^k L_{\beta k}^i \\ &= -h_A^\alpha \tilde{K}_{\beta\alpha}^i + n_A^k L_{\beta\alpha}^i,\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

in which

$$\tilde{K}_{\beta\alpha}^i = K_{\beta\alpha}^j N_j^{-1i},\tag{25}$$

$$L_{\beta ji} \equiv N_{jk} n^{Ak} \tilde{\nabla}_\beta n_{Ai} = -\frac{1}{2} N_i^{-1l} (D_\beta N_{jl} + N_{lk} \partial_j N_\beta^k - N_{jk} \partial_l N_\beta^k),\tag{26}$$

or

$$\tilde{L}_{\beta ij} = N_i^{-1k} L_{\beta kj} = \frac{1}{2} (D_\beta N_{ij}^{-1} - N_i^{-1l} \partial_l N_{\beta j} + N_j^{-1l} \partial_l N_{\beta i}),\tag{27}$$

which satisfies

$$\tilde{L}_{\beta ji} + \tilde{L}_{\beta ij} = D_\beta N_{ij}^{-1},\tag{28}$$

and for nonAbelian case

$$\tilde{L}_{\beta ij} = \frac{1}{2} D_\beta N_{ij}^{-1} - (N_i^{-1l} \partial_l \xi_{Pj} - N_j^{-1l} \partial_l \xi_{Pi}) \mathcal{A}_\beta^P.\tag{29}$$

3 Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci Equations

From definition of $\tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_\beta^C$ it is straightforward to calculate the antisymmetric double derivative of h_β^C as follows

$$\begin{aligned}h_C^\delta (\tilde{\nabla}_\gamma \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha - \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma) h_\beta^C \\ = h_C^\delta h_\alpha^A h_\beta^B h_\gamma^D R_{ADB}^C - S_{\alpha\gamma\beta}^\delta \\ + h^{\delta\eta} N^{-1ij} (\partial_i h_{\alpha\gamma} + 2K_{\alpha\gamma i}) K_{\beta\eta j}\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

in which R_{ADB}^C is the Riemann curvature tensor of higher dimensional space and

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\alpha\gamma\beta}^\delta &\equiv D_\gamma P_{\alpha\beta}^\delta - D_\alpha P_{\gamma\beta}^\delta + P_{\gamma\eta}^\delta P_{\alpha\beta}^\eta - P_{\alpha\eta}^\delta P_{\gamma\beta}^\eta \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{\alpha\gamma\beta}^\delta + N_\alpha^i \partial_i \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\delta - N_\gamma^i \partial_i \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\delta + D_\gamma H_{\alpha\beta}^\delta - D_\alpha H_{\gamma\beta}^\delta \\ &\quad + H_{\gamma\eta}^\delta H_{\alpha\beta}^\eta - H_{\alpha\eta}^\delta H_{\gamma\beta}^\eta + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\gamma\eta}^\delta H_{\alpha\beta}^\eta + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\eta H_{\gamma\eta}^\delta\end{aligned}$$

$$-\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\eta}^\delta H_{\gamma\beta}^\eta - \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\eta H_{\alpha\eta}^\delta, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_{\alpha\gamma\beta}^\delta = \partial_\gamma \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\delta - \partial_\alpha \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\delta + \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\gamma\zeta}^\delta \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\zeta - \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\zeta}^\delta \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\zeta \quad (32)$$

is the Riemann curvature tensor in lower dimensional space. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & h_C^\delta (\tilde{\nabla}_\gamma \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha - \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma) h_\beta^C \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_C^\delta \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma h_\beta^C - \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma h_C^\delta \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha h_\beta^C \\ &= N_{ij}^{-1} h^{\delta\eta} (K_{\alpha\eta}^i K_{\gamma\beta}^j - K_{\gamma\eta}^i K_{\alpha\beta}^j). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Equating right hand sides of (30) and (33) and contracting γ with δ and α with β we obtain the Gauss equation

$$\begin{aligned} h^{AB} h^{CD} R_{ADBC} &= h^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\gamma\beta}^\gamma \\ &+ h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\gamma\delta} N_{ij}^{-1} (K_{\alpha\delta}^i K_{\gamma\beta}^j - K_{\gamma\delta}^i K_{\alpha\beta}^j - 2K_{\alpha\gamma}^i K_{\beta\delta}^j) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\gamma\delta} N^{-1ij} \partial_i h_{\alpha\gamma} \partial_j h_{\beta\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Because of

$$\begin{aligned} h^{AB} h^{CD} R_{ADBC} &= R - 2N^{-1ij} R_{ij} + N^{-1ij} N^{-1kl} R_{ikjl} \\ &= R - h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\gamma\delta} N^{-1ij} [2K_{\alpha\gamma}^i K_{\beta\delta}^j - (N_{ik} \partial_j N_\delta^k \\ &- \frac{1}{2} D_\delta N_{ij}) (N_\gamma^k \partial_k h_{\alpha\beta} - N_\alpha^k \partial_k h_{\beta\gamma} - N_\beta^k \partial_k h_{\alpha\gamma})] \\ &- X(N_\alpha^i, N_{kl}), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} X(N_\alpha^i, N_{kl}) &\equiv \\ &N^{-1ij} \{ h^{\alpha\beta} [2D_\alpha N_\beta^k \partial_i N_{jk} + 2D_\alpha (N_{ik} \partial_j N_\beta^k) - \partial_i \partial_j h_{\alpha\beta} \\ &- D_\alpha D_\beta N_{ij} - D_\alpha N_\beta^k \partial_k N_{ij} - 2N_{kl} \partial_i N_\alpha^k \partial_j N_\beta^l] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} N^{-1kl} [N_{im} \partial_l N_\alpha^m (3N_{jn} \partial_k N_\beta^n \\ &- N_{kn} \partial_j N_\beta^n) - 2N_{im} \partial_j N_\alpha^m N_{ln} \partial_k N_\beta^n \\ &- 2N_{im} (\partial_l N_\alpha^m D_\beta N_{jk} - \partial_j N_\alpha^m D_\beta N_{lk}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (3D_\alpha N_{ik} D_\beta N_{jl} - D_\alpha N_{ij} D_\beta N_{kl}) - (2\partial_i N_{jk} \\ &- \partial_k N_{ij}) (2N_{lm} D_\beta N_\alpha^m - \partial_l h_{\alpha\beta})] \\ &+ N^{-1kl} (\partial_i \partial_k N_{jl} - \partial_i \partial_k N_{kl}) + \frac{1}{4} N^{-1kl} N^{-1mn} [2\partial_i N_{mk} (\partial_l N_{jn} \\ &+ \partial_j N_{nl} - 2\partial_n N_{jl}) + \partial_m N_{ik} \partial_n N_{jl} - \partial_m N_{ij} \partial_n N_{kl} \\ &- 4\partial_i N_{jm} (\partial_l N_{kn} - \partial_n N_{kl})] \}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(36)$$

and

$$h^{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha\gamma\beta}{}^\gamma = \mathbf{R} + V(h_{\alpha\beta}), \quad (37)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(h_{\alpha\beta}) \equiv & N_\alpha^i \partial^\gamma h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_i h_{\beta\gamma} \\ & + h^{\alpha\beta} (\partial^\gamma N_\gamma^i \partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial^\gamma N_\alpha^i \partial_i h_{\beta\gamma}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} N^{\alpha i} [\partial_\alpha (h^{\gamma\delta} \partial_i h_{\gamma\delta}) \\ & + h^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\alpha \partial_i h_{\gamma\delta}] + \frac{1}{4} h^{\alpha\beta} (2 N^{\gamma i} N^{\delta j} \\ & - h^{\gamma\delta} N_\eta^i N^{\eta j}) (\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} \partial_j h_{\gamma\delta} \\ & - \partial_i h_{\alpha\delta} \partial_j h_{\beta\gamma}) + h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\gamma\delta} (N_\gamma^i \partial_i N_\alpha^j \partial_j h_{\delta\beta} \\ & - N_\gamma^i \partial_i N_\delta^j \partial_j h_{\alpha\beta} + N_\gamma^i N_\alpha^j \partial_i \partial_j h_{\delta\beta} \\ & - N_\gamma^i N_\delta^j \partial_i \partial_j h_{\alpha\beta}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} h^{\alpha\beta} (2 N_\gamma^i N_\alpha^j \partial_j h_{\beta\delta} \\ & - N_\alpha^i N_\beta^j \partial_j h_{\gamma\delta} - N_\gamma^i N_\delta^j \partial_j h_{\alpha\beta}) \partial_i h^{\gamma\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} R = \mathbf{R} & + h^{\alpha\beta} h^{\gamma\delta} N^{-1 ij} [K_{\alpha\delta i} K_{\gamma\beta j} - K_{\gamma\delta i} K_{\alpha\beta j} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i h_{\alpha\gamma} \partial_j h_{\beta\delta} - (N_{ik} \partial_j N_\delta^k - \frac{1}{2} D_\delta N_{ij}) (N_\gamma^k \partial_k h_{\alpha\beta} \\ & - N_\alpha^k \partial_k h_{\beta\gamma} - N_\beta^k \partial_k h_{\alpha\gamma})] \\ & + X(N_\alpha^i, N_{kl}) + V(h_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

It is well-known that the Lagrangian of Einstein gravitational equation is $\sqrt{-g}R$, hence, we have to do a conformal transformation first to assure that Gauss equation represents the Kaluza-Klein reduction of action from D-dimensional gravitation to d-dimensional gravitation plus matters (gauge fields and scalars). Since

$$g_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha\beta} + N_{ij} N_\alpha^i N_\beta^j & N_{ij} N_\alpha^i \\ N_{ij} N_\beta^j & N_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \quad (40)$$

from the triangularization of its vielbein form it is easy to realize

$$\det g_{AB} = \det h_{\alpha\beta} \det N_{ij}. \quad (41)$$

Therefore we adopt the following conformal transformation

$$g_{AB} \rightarrow \hat{g}_{AB} = (\det N_{ij})^{-\frac{1}{D-2}} g_{AB}. \quad (42)$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\hat{R} &= \sqrt{-h}[R + U(\det N)] \\
&= \sqrt{-h}\{\mathbf{R} + h^{\alpha\beta}h^{\gamma\delta}N^{-1ij}[K_{\alpha\delta i}K_{\gamma\beta j} - K_{\gamma\delta i}K_{\alpha\beta j} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i h_{\alpha\gamma}\partial_j h_{\beta\delta} - (N_{ik}\partial_j N_\delta^k - \frac{1}{2}D_\delta N_{ij})(N_\gamma^k\partial_k h_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad - N_\alpha^k\partial_k h_{\beta\gamma} - N_\beta^k\partial_k h_{\alpha\gamma})] + X(N_\alpha^i, N_{kl}) + V(h_{\alpha\beta}) + U(\det N)\},
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\det N) &\equiv \\
&\frac{D-1}{D-2}\{D^\alpha D_\alpha \ln \det N - \frac{1}{4}D^\alpha \ln \det N D_\alpha \ln \det N + N^{-1ij}[\partial_i \partial_j \ln \det N \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\partial_i \ln \det N \partial_j \ln \det N + \frac{1}{2}h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta}\partial_j \ln \det N \\
&\quad - N^{-1kl}(\partial_k N_{il} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_i N_{kl})\partial_j \ln \det N] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}h^{\gamma\delta}[h^{\alpha\beta}(N_\alpha^j\partial_j h_{\delta\beta} + N_\beta^j\partial_j h_{\delta\alpha} \\
&\quad - N_\delta^j\partial_j h_{\alpha\beta}) - 2\partial_k N_\delta^k + N^{-1kl}D_\delta N_{kl}]D_\gamma \ln \det N\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Equation (43) gives the Lagrangian reduction formula.

Next, we would like to find the Codazzi equation and Ricci equation. Following definition (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tilde{\nabla}_\gamma \tilde{\nabla}_\beta - \tilde{\nabla}_\beta \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma)n_C^i \\
&= R_{DBC}{}^A h_\beta^B h_\gamma^D n_A^i - \frac{1}{2}N^{-1ik}(D_\beta N_\gamma^j - D_\gamma N_\beta^j)[(D_\alpha N_{jk} \\
&\quad - N_{kl}\partial_j N_\alpha^l - N_{jl}\partial_k N_\alpha^l)h_C^\alpha + (\partial_l N_{jk} + \partial_j N_{lk} - \partial_k N_{jl})n_C^l]
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

on the one hand, and through the Weingarten formula (24) we know

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tilde{\nabla}_\gamma \tilde{\nabla}_\beta - \tilde{\nabla}_\beta \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma)n_C^i \\
&= -(\tilde{\nabla}_\gamma \tilde{K}_{\beta\alpha}^i - \tilde{\nabla}_\beta \tilde{K}_{\gamma\alpha}^i)h_C^\alpha - (\tilde{K}_{\beta\alpha}^i K_{\gamma j}^\alpha - \tilde{K}_{\gamma\alpha}^i K_{\beta j}^\alpha)n_C^j \\
&\quad + (\tilde{\nabla}_\gamma L_{\beta j}^i - \tilde{\nabla}_\beta L_{\gamma j}^i)n_C^j - (\tilde{K}_{\gamma\alpha}^j L_{\beta j}^i - \tilde{K}_{\beta\alpha}^j L_{\gamma j}^i)h_C^\alpha \\
&\quad + (L_{\gamma k}^j L_{\beta j}^i - L_{\beta k}^j L_{\gamma j}^i)n_C^k
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

on the other hand. Comparing both expressions we obtain the two equations immediately. The Codazzi equation is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\nabla}_\beta \tilde{K}_{\gamma\alpha}^i - \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma \tilde{K}_{\beta\alpha}^i + \tilde{K}_{\beta\alpha}^j L_{\gamma j}^i - \tilde{K}_{\gamma\alpha}^j L_{\beta j}^i \\
&\quad - N^{-1ik}N^{-1jl}(D_\alpha N_{jk} - N_{km}\partial_j N_\alpha^m - N_{jm}\partial_k N_\alpha^m)(K_{\beta\gamma l} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_l h_{\beta\gamma})
\end{aligned}$$

$$= R_{DBC}{}^A h_\beta^B h_\gamma^D h_\alpha^C n_A^i. \quad (47)$$

After contracting index α with γ we get the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\nabla}_\beta \tilde{K}_\alpha^{\alpha i} - \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha \tilde{K}_\beta^{\alpha i} \\ & + \tilde{K}_\beta^{\alpha j} L_{\alpha j}{}^i - \tilde{K}_\alpha^{\alpha j} L_{\beta j}{}^i \\ & - \frac{1}{2} N^{-1 ki} N^{-1 lj} \{ D_\beta \partial_k N_{jl} - D_\beta \partial_l N_{kj} + \partial_j D_\beta N_{kl} + (\partial_l N_{km} \\ & - \partial_k N_{lm}) \partial_j N_\beta^m + 2 \partial_j (N_l^m L_{\beta km}) - 2 h^{\gamma \alpha} [K_{\beta \gamma k} (N_l^m L_{\alpha jm} \\ & + N_{lm} \partial_j N_\alpha^m) + (K_{\beta \gamma l} + \partial_l h_{\beta \gamma}) (N_k^m L_{\alpha jm} + N_{km} \partial_j N_\alpha^m)] \\ & - L_{\beta k}{}^m (\partial_j N_{lm} + \partial_l N_{jm} - \partial_m N_{jl}) + L_{\beta l}{}^m (\partial_k N_{jm} + \partial_j N_{km} - \partial_m N_{jk}) \} \\ & = R_{BA} h_\beta^B n^{Ai}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

To keep consistency with the Lagrangian reduction we also perform conformal transformation (42) for Codazzi equation

$$\hat{R}_{BA} h_\beta^B n^{Ai} = R_{BA} h_\beta^B n^{Ai} + W(\det N) = \mathcal{T}_{BA} h_\beta^B n^{Ai}, \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & W(\det N) \\ & \equiv \frac{1}{2} N^{-1 ij} [D_\beta \partial_j \ln \det N + \frac{1}{2(D-2)} D_\beta \ln \det N \partial_j \ln \det N \\ & + N^{-1 ij} h^{\gamma \delta} K_{\beta \delta j} D_\gamma \ln \det N - \frac{1}{2} N^{-1 kl} (D_\beta N_{lj} + N_{lm} \partial_j N_\beta^m \\ & - N_{jm} \partial_l N_\beta^m) \partial_k \ln \det N]. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

In the last step we have used the higher dimensional Einstein equation where matter field tensor $\mathcal{T}_{BA}$ is not equal to zero if D-dimensional spacetime is not pure gravity. In short eq.(49) gives out a constraint.

Finally, we write down the Ricci equation as following

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\nabla}_\gamma L_{\beta j}{}^i - \tilde{\nabla}_\beta L_{\gamma j}{}^i + \tilde{K}_{\gamma \alpha}^i K_{\beta j}^\alpha - \tilde{K}_{\beta \alpha}^i K_{\gamma j}^\alpha \\ & + L_{\gamma j}{}^k L_{\beta k}{}^i - L_{\beta j}{}^k L_{\gamma k}{}^i - N^{-1 ik} N^{-1 lm} (\partial_l N_{jk} + \partial_j N_{lk} - \partial_k N_{jl}) (K_{\beta \gamma m} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_m h_{\beta \gamma}) \\ & = R_{DBC}{}^A h_\beta^B h_\gamma^D N_j^k n_A^i n_k^C = N^{-1 ik} R_{DBjk} h_\beta^B h_\gamma^D. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

A simplest constraint occurs if $i=j$, i.e. the right hand side of eq.(51) vanishes. Other constraint works when above Ricci equation is combined with Gauss equation.

4 Some examples

4.1 Isometric group SO(n) and SU(n)

Let us consider the isometric group SO(n) first. Thus, a spherical internal space S^{n-1} ($n = D - d + 1$) is suitable for present topic, and the spherical harmonics will be good instrument.[18][14] The Killing vector can be written as

$$V_i^{IJ} = y^{[I} \partial_i y^{J]} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (y^I \partial_i y^J - y^J \partial_i y^I). \quad (52)$$

By using properties of spherical harmonics

$$y^I y_I = 1, \quad \partial_i y^I \partial^i y^J + y^I y^J = \delta^{IJ} \quad (53)$$

and so on, we can show that

$$\partial_i V_j^{IJ} + \partial_j V_i^{IJ} = 0, \quad (54)$$

and

$$V_i^{IJ} \partial^i V_j^{KL} - V_i^{KL} \partial^i V_j^{IJ} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{JK} V_j^{IL} - \delta^{JL} V_j^{IK} + \delta^{IL} V_j^{JK} - \delta^{IK} V_j^{JL}), \quad (55)$$

which is the commutator of so(n) algebra. In this prescription

$$N_\alpha^i = -V_{IJ}^i(u) \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{IJ}(x) \equiv N_\alpha^I \partial^i y_I \quad (56)$$

$$N_\alpha^I \equiv \mathcal{A}_\alpha^{IJ} y_J = (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\alpha)^{IJ} y_J \quad (57)$$

in which $\mathbf{L}$ is the generator of so(n).

If we introduce the scalar field tensor $T_{IJ}(x)$ which was used by authors of ref.[14] then

$$N_{ij} = \Delta^{-1} T_{IJ}^{-1} \partial_i y^I \partial_j y^J, \quad \Delta \equiv T_{IJ} y^I y^J, \quad (58)$$

and

$$N_{ij}^{-1} = 2V_i^{IK} V_j^{JL} T_{IJ} T_{KL}. \quad (59)$$

Now we find

$$K_{\alpha\beta i} = -\frac{1}{2} [\partial_i h_{\alpha\beta} + \Delta^{-1} T_{IJ}^{-1} y_K \partial_i y^I (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{F})^{KJ}]. \quad (60)$$

(in later ansatz metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is supposed independent of u) And the metric becomes

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & h_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta \\ & + \Delta^{-1} T_{IJ}^{-1} [dy^I + (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\alpha)^{IK} y_K dx^\alpha] [dy^J + (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\beta)^{JL} y_L dx^\beta] \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

In form, it looks like a D+1 dimensional metric, but with a constraint $y^I y_I = 1$. In fact, by using of these gauge fields and scalar fields the authors of ref.[14]

found a full nonlinear ansatz truncated to massless fields for 11-dimensional supergravity reduced to 7-dimensional spacetime through S^4 spherical reduction in which a form field ansatz $F_{(4)}$ guaranteed the consistency. But there is a Chern-Simons FFA term which makes things a little complicated. Follow closely another group of authors found a full nonlinear ansatz for 10-dimensional IIB supergravity reduced to 5 spacetime on S^5 which is particularly relevant for AdS/CFT correspondence.[15] In this ansatz except the 10-dimensional gravitation we have a selfdual 5 form field. By means of this example we may use D=10 to d=5 Gauss equation to reduce the system

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-\hat{g}}(\hat{R}_{(10)} - \frac{1}{5!}G_{ABCDE}G^{ABCDE}) \quad (62)$$

in which ansatz $G_{(5)}$ is given in [15] (let coupling constant $g=1$)

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} = & -U\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon} \\ & + T_{IJ}^{-1}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta}\mathcal{D}^\eta T^{JK}y_K(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\epsilon)^{IL}y_L \\ & - \frac{1}{2}T_{IK}^{-1}T_{JL}^{-1}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta\zeta}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\eta\zeta})^{IJ}[(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\delta)^{KM}y_M(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\epsilon)^{LN}y_N \\ & - (\delta \leftrightarrow \epsilon)] \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta i} \\ = T_{IJ}^{-1}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\eta}\mathcal{D}^\eta T^{JK}y_K\partial_i y^I - T_{IK}^{-1}T_{JL}^{-1}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta\zeta}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\eta\zeta})^{IJ}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\delta)^{KM}y_M\partial_i y^L \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

$$G_{\alpha\beta\gamma ij} = -T_{IK}^{-1}T_{JL}^{-1}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\eta\zeta}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\eta\zeta})^{IJ}\partial_i y^K\partial_j y^L, \quad (65)$$

where

$$U \equiv 2T_{IJ}T^{JK}y^I y_K - \Delta T_I^I, \quad \Delta \equiv T_{IJ}y^I y^J, \quad (66)$$

$$(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{F})^{IJ} = d(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{IJ} + (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{IK} \wedge (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A})_K^J, \quad (67)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha T_{IJ} = \partial_\alpha T_{IJ} + (\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\alpha)_{IK}T_J^K + T_{IK}(\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\alpha)_J^K. \quad (68)$$

Following the ordinary logic, to substitute 10-dimensional formula(43) into (62) we ought to gain the 5-dimensional Lagrangian, i.e. the $\mathcal{L}_5$ in ref.[15]. However, gazing at eq.(43) it seems difficult to get the expectant result. In view of demonstration of consistency of known ansatz is only at the level of equations of motion, it needs more effort for checking ansatz with action. Because the calculation is complicated, so we prefer to let them for further investigation. Moreover, we need energy-momentum tensor for Codazzi constrain

$$\mathcal{T}_{AB} = -\frac{1}{4!}(G_{ACDEF}G_B{}^{CDEF} - \frac{1}{10}\hat{g}_{AB}G_{ABCDE}G^{ABCDE}). \quad (69)$$

Next, we examine isometric group $SU(n)$. Since $SU(n)$ is a subgroup of $SO(2n)$,

we may still use the spherical harmonics to describe metric and others. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the $SU(n)$ generator in $2n$ -dimensional representation, and $\mathbf{t}$ in basic representation. To characterize Kaluza-Klein reduction for isometric group $SU(n)$ what we have to do is to change $SO(n)$ generator $\mathbf{L}$ to $SU(n)$ generator $\mathbf{T}$. Especially we now have

$$N_\alpha^I = (\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{IJ} y_J. \quad (70)$$

Because the number of spherical harmonics is even, we may arrange them in pair. Let $a = i, \dots, n$ be the first half of I , we choose that

$$z^a = y^a + i y^{a+n}, \quad (71)$$

and

$$(\mathbf{t})^{ab} = (\mathbf{T})^{ab} + i(\mathbf{T})^{a+n b}, \quad (72)$$

where

$$(\mathbf{T})^{ab} = (\mathbf{T})^{a+n b+n}, \quad (\mathbf{T})^{a b+n} = -(\mathbf{T})^{a+n b}, \quad (73)$$

so that

$$N_\alpha^a \equiv N_\alpha^{I=a} + i N_\alpha^{I=a+n} \equiv \Re N_\alpha^a + i \Im N_\alpha^a \quad (74)$$

$$= (\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{aI} y_I + i(\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{a+n I} y_I = (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{ab} z_b. \quad (75)$$

Two special examples are (i) $SU(2)$

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{2i} \tau, \quad \tau \sim \text{Pauli matrix}, \quad (76)$$

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma, \quad \Sigma_1 = L_{14} + L_{23}, \quad \Sigma_2 = -(L_{34} + L_{12}), \quad \Sigma_3 = -(L_{24} + L_{31}); \quad (77)$$

(ii) $SU(3)$

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{2i} \lambda, \quad \lambda \sim \text{Gell-Mann matrix}, \quad (78)$$

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda, \quad \Lambda_1 = L_{15} + L_{24}, \quad \Lambda_2 = -(L_{12} + L_{45}), \quad \Lambda_3 = L_{14} - L_{25}, \quad (79)$$

$$\Lambda_4 = L_{16} + L_{34}, \quad \Lambda_5 = -(L_{13} + L_{46}), \quad \Lambda_6 = L_{26} + L_{35}, \quad (80)$$

$$\Lambda_7 = -(L_{23} + L_{56}), \quad \Lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(L_{14} + L_{25} - 2L_{36}). \quad (81)$$

Suppose that the scalar tensor T_{IJ} keeps in real and possesses block diagonal form. The subset of "SO(2n) metric" (61) becomes

$$g_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\alpha\beta} + \Delta^{-1} T_{ab}^{-1} [\Re N_\alpha^a \Re N_\beta^b + \Im N_\alpha^a \Im N_\beta^b] & \Delta^{-1} T_{ab}^{-1} \Re N_\alpha^b & \Delta^{-1} T_{ab}^{-1} \Im N_\alpha^b \\ \Delta^{-1} T_{ab}^{-1} \Re N_\beta^b & \Delta^{-1} T_{ab}^{-1} & 0 \\ \Delta^{-1} T_{ab}^{-1} \Im N_\beta^b & 0 & \Delta^{-1} T_{ab}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (82)$$

and $A(\alpha, a, a), B(\beta, b, b) = 1, \dots, D+1 (= d+2n)$. Obviously, they are equivalent to

$$ds^2 = h_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta + \Delta^{-1} [dz^a + (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{ac} z_c]^\dagger T_{ab}^{-1} [dz^b + (\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathcal{A})^{bd} z_d] \quad (83)$$

with constraint

$$z^{a\dagger} z_a = 1. \quad (84)$$

In above IIB supergravity ansatz it seems that an SU(3) isometric group ansatz can be embeded in it.

4.2 Kaluza-Klein monopole and instanton

Because that the Gauss-Codazzi-Ricci equations depend on gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$, we have pointed that these equations may be set up in distinct neighborhoods.[17] For 11-dimensional Kaluza-Klein monopole the metric is denoted as

$$ds_{11}^2 = e^{-\frac{\phi}{6}} ds_{10}^2 + e^{\frac{4\phi}{3}} (dx^{10} + \mathcal{A}^\pm)^2, \quad (85)$$

and

$$ds_{10}^2 = e^{\frac{\phi}{6}} dx^\mu dx_\mu + e^{-\frac{7\phi}{6}} ds_3^2, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, 6 \quad (86)$$

$$ds_3^2 = dy_i dy_i = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \quad r = \sqrt{y_i y_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (87)$$

in which ϕ is a dilaton and gauge fields will write in the Wu-Yang gauge[19]

$$\mathcal{A}^\pm = \frac{Q_m}{2r(y_3 \pm r)} (y_1 dy_2 - y_2 dy_1) = \frac{1}{2} Q_m (\pm - \cos \theta) d\varphi, \quad (88)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-h}} \frac{Q_m}{r^2} * (d^7 x \wedge dr) = \frac{Q_m}{2r^3} \epsilon_{ijk} y_i dy_j dy_k = d\mathcal{A}^\pm. \quad (89)$$

These construct a monopole bundle over base space S^2 with fiber U(1).

As for instanton we have to look for a fiber bundle over base space S^4 with fiber SU(2). Starting from eq.(75) we set $\mathbf{t} = \frac{1}{2i}\tau$ then we need to take a S^4 part out of the d-dimensional metric . It would be better to choose the polar coordinates of 5-dimensional de Sitter space with radius $a/2$ [20]

$$ds_4^2 = [dr^2 + r^2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2)] / (1 + \frac{r^2}{a^2})^2 \quad (90)$$

in which

$$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{r^2} (x_i dx_0 - x_0 dx_i + \epsilon_{ijk} x_j dx_k), \quad r^2 = x^\mu x_\mu \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (91)$$

The gauge potential of BPST instanton will be

$$\frac{1}{2i} \tau \cdot \mathcal{A}_\mu^{(+)} = \frac{r^2}{r^2 + a^2} i \sigma \cdot \tau = -i \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} x^\nu}{r^2 + a^2}, \quad (92)$$

in "north" hemisphere of S^4 ; and

$$\frac{1}{2i} \tau \cdot \mathcal{A}_\mu^{(-)} = \frac{a^2}{r^2 + a^2} i \sigma \cdot \tau = -i \frac{a^2 \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} x^\nu}{r^2 (r^2 + a^2)} \quad (93)$$

in "south" hemisphere of S^4 , where

$$\sigma_{ij} = \bar{\sigma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\tau_k, \quad \sigma_{0i} = -\bar{\sigma}_{0i} = \frac{1}{2}\tau_i, \quad \sigma_{\mu\nu} = -\sigma_{\nu\mu}. \quad (94)$$

The field strengthes are

$$\mathcal{F}^{(+)} = \frac{2ia^2\tau_k}{(r^2 + a^2)^2}(dr \wedge r\sigma_k + \frac{1}{2}r^2\epsilon_{kij}\sigma_i \wedge \sigma_j), \quad (95)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(-)} = h\mathcal{F}^{(+)}h^{-1}, \quad h = \frac{t - ix \cdot \tau}{r}. \quad (96)$$

Of course, we can also use the t'Hooft or Jackiw-Nohl-Rebbi multiple instanton solution or other instanton solution.

References

- [1] Th.Kaluza, Sitz.Preuss.Akad.Wiss.Berlin,Phys.Math.K1(1921)966.
O.Klein,Z.Phys.37(1926).
- [2] M.J.Duff,B.E.W.Nilsson and C.N.Pope,Phys.Rep.130(1986)1.
- [3] J.M.Overduin and P.S.Wesson, Phys.Rep.283(1997)303.
- [4] S.W.Hawking and G.F.R.Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time,
Cambridge:Cambridge University Press;1973.
- [5] R.M.Wald, General Relativity, University of Chicago Press;1984.
- [6] H.Stephani, General Relativity An introduction to the theory of the
gravitational field, Cambridge University Press,1982.
- [7] J.A.Schouten, Ricci-Calculus, Springer Verlag, Berlin, second edition,1954.
- [8] K.Yano, Elementary for Riemann Geometry,(Chinese translation from
Japanese) Northeastern Technological University (China) Press,1982;
Integral Formulae in Riemannian Geometry, Interscience,1970.
- [9] R.Arnowitz, S.Deser and C.W.Misner, "The Dynamics of General Relativity" in Gravitation: An Introduction to Current Research.ed. L.Witten
(New York:Wiley)1962.

- [10] J.A.Wheeler, "Superspace and the Nature of Quantum Geometrodynamics", in Battelle Recontres, ed. C.M.DeWitt and J.A.Wheeler (new York: Benjamin)1968.
- [11] B.de Wit, Supergravity, EDP Sciences, Springer -Verlag, 2002.
- [12] J.Polchinski, String Theory I,II; Cambridge University Press;1998.
- [13] B.de Wit and H.Nicolai, Nucl.Phys.B281(1987)211.
- [14] H.Nastase,D.Vaman and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Nucl.Phys.B581(2000)179.
- [15] M.Cvetič,H.Lu,C.N.Pope,A.Sadrzadeh and T.A.Tran,
Nucl.Phys.B586(2000)275.
- [16] H.Lu, C.N.Pope and K.S.Stell, Consistent Pauli Sphere Reductions and the Action, arXiv:hep-th/0611299.
- [17] P.Wang, arXiv:hep-th/0705.1216. in this article a word (variation) we have used in title and in text is not proper, it should change to modification. There are also a few printing mistakes.
- [18] A.Salam and J.Strathdee, Ann.Phys.NY 141(1982)316.
- [19] T.T.Wu and C.N.Yang, Phys.Rev.D12(1975)3845.
- [20] T.Eguchi, P.B.Gilkey and A.J.Hanson, Phys.Rep.66(1980)213.